UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTICT OF MICHIGAN **SOUTHERN MICHIGAN**

2016 JUN -8 P 3: 57

In re:

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT City of Detroit, Michigan E.D. MICHIGAN-DETROIT

Debtor

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846 Honorable Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

CLAIMANT'S RESPONSE TO DEBTOR'S 44th OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CHANGES

- I, Darrell S. Carrington("Claimant") hereby responds to the Debtor's Objection to Certain Claims filed by Claimant. In support thereof, Claimant respectfully states the following:
- During the bankruptcy proceedings Claimant properly filed his Proof of Claim 1. with the Court.
- 2. In the Proof of Claim, Claimant assessed a claim against the Debtor in the sum of \$11,697.88 as a result of non-payment of employee wages due to his employment.
- 3. In the Objection, the Debtor seeks to disallow the Proof of Claims to zero claiming that there is no basis for the Debtor to have liability.
- For the reasons set forth in detail below, Claimant requests that the Court overrule the Objection to his claim and allow the claim in the amount set forth in his Proof of Claim.

Factual Background

- 5. During 2012, the Claimant was an employee of the Planning and Development Department, City of Detroit. While an employee of this department, his salary was paid for with and funded by federal grant funds, i.e. Community Development block Grant funds. At no time, was her salary paid using the Debtor's General Fund dollars.
- Beginning 2012, the Debtor instituted a 10% budget cut instituted through 6.

furlough days in order to save General Fund dollars. At no time, did Claimant's salary or benefits impact the General Fund.

7. The grant funds retained by the Debtor through the implementation of the

furlough days is still being retained by the Debtor and is in danger of being recaptured by the

federal government.

8. Because the Claimant's salary is funded by grant funds, it had no impact on the

City's bankruptcy.

9. The Debtor bases its Objection on the implementation of the Debtor's CETs. This

claim has no relation to CETs.

10. The Objection is grounded on the belief that there is no valid basis for the liability

of the City but, the liability exists because cuts sustained by Claimant had no relation to the

General Fund and therefore, no relation to the bankruptcy.

11. Because the claims have no relation to the bankruptcy, the claims should be

allowed to proceed.

THEREFORE, for all the foregoing reasons, Claimant, Darrell S. Carrington Respectfully

requests that the Court overrule the Objection to Claimant's claim and allow such

claim in full in the amounts provided in Claimant's Proof of Claim, and grant such other relief as

the Court deems just and equitable.

Date: June 8, 2016

Detroit, Michigan

Respectfully submitted,

Darrell S. Carrington

FILED

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

2016 JUN -8 P 3:58

IN RE: CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN	U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT CASE NO: 13-53840. MICHIGAN-DETROIT
Darrell S, Carrington	CHAPTER: 9
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
I hereby certify that onJUNE 8, 2016	(date of mailing), I served
copies as follows:	
Document(s) served: Claimant's Response to Debtor's 44th Omnibus Objection to Certain Changes	
2. Served upon [name and address of each person served]:	
Marc N. Swanson 150 W. Jefferson, Suite 2500 Detroit, MI 48226 313-496-7591 swansonm@millercanfield.com 3. By First Class Mail.	
•	mature) at Name: DARREII S. CARRING TOW